# Field reconnaissance following the April 6, 2009 L`Aquila Earthquake in Italy

#### **Gian Paolo Cimellaro**

Assistant professor, Department of Structural and Geotechnical engineering, Politecnico di Torino

> Thursday, June 11, 2009 2:30PM

Room 140, Ketter Hall, North Campus, Buffalo NY



POLITECNICO DI TORINO - DISTR MANA MUCEER EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING TO EXTREME EVENTS G.P. CIMELLARO

#### Outline

Seismic ground motion and fault mechanism;

Italian seismic standard and the role of masonry in seismic zone;

Damage to historical monuments;

Damage to critical facilities;

Damage to industrial buildings;

Damage to lifelines;

Damage to RC buildings;



#### Acknowledgments MCEER

University at Buffalo

EERI

University of Naples Federico II (I. Iervolino and E. Cosenza)

Protezione Civile (M. Dolce)

INGV

University of Trento (O. Bursi)



#### Outline

Seismic ground motion and fault mechanism;

Italian seismic standard and the role of masonry in seismic zone;

Damage to historical monuments;

Damage to critical facilities;

Damage to industrial buildings;

Damage to lifelines;

Damage to RC buildings;



# L'Aquila- Areal view





POLITECNICO DI TORINO - DISTR MIMINCEER EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING TO EXTREME EVENTS G.P. CIMELLARO

#### Fault location in Italy







POLITECNICO DI TORINO - DISTR MIMINCEER EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING TO EXTREME EVENTS G.P. CIMELLARO

# Location of the fault





# Normal Fault mechanism





POLITECNICO DI TORINO - DISTR MIMINGEER EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING TO EXTREME EVENTS G.P. CIMELLARO

# Fault surface

□Locations of accelerometers in Abruzzo region;





POLITECNICO DI TORINO - DISTR MIMINCEER EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING TO EXTREME EVENTS G.P. CIMELLARO

#### Ground motion intensity measures

	Station			Azim	uth 000	Azim	uth 090	Ve	rtical	9 15 E	AQA: Qal
# code	Station Name	$R_{\mbox{\scriptsize epi}}$ (km) $R_{\mbox{\scriptsize JB}}$ (km) PHA (g) PHV (cm/s) PHA (g) PHV (cm/s) PVA (g) PVV (cm/s)							PVV (cm/s)	8	V P EP (147)
1 ANT	ANTRODOCO	23.1	16.2	0.026	2.550	0.020	1.790	0.012	1.170		
<sup>2</sup> AQA	L'Aquila - V. Aterno -F. Aterno	5.8	0.0	0.443	27.100	0.402	31.900	0.496	9.700	ect	A A WAY
<sup>3</sup> AQG	L'Aquila - V. Aterno -Colle Grilli	4.3	0.0	0.515	36.000	0.482	31.100	0.273	10.700	d 0.5	
<sup>4</sup> AQK	Aquil PARK ing.	5.6	0.0	0.383	36.500	0.341	32.400	0.361	21.600	0 I	have not the second sec
<sup>5</sup> AQV	L'Aquila - V. Aterno - Centro Valle	4.8	0.0	0.554	43.100	0.669	40.400	0.525	12.100	• 1	
<sup>6</sup> ASS	ASSISI	101.7	94.8	0.003	0.393	0.006	0.438	0.002	0.300	2 +	- i a constant a constant of constant
7 AVL	AVELLINO	198.1	179.5	0.001	0.418	0.001	0.360	0.001	0.347	~ 1	
<sup>8</sup> AVZ	AVEZZANO	34.9	17.5	0.069	11.400	0.056	10.900	0.027	3.750	<sup>9</sup> 1.5 -	AQK: QT
<sup>9</sup> BBN	BIBBIENA	199.6	192.5	0.001	0.256	0.001	0.270	0.001	0.267		FN (57)
10 BDT	BADIA TEDALDA	178.8	171.5	0.002	0.384	0.002	0.293	0.001	0.372		
<sup>11</sup> BNE	BENEVENTO	180.4	160.7	0.002	0.701	0.002	0.453	0.002	0.415	ec ec	Crock VA SA
<sup>12</sup> BOJ	BOJANO	133.5	113.7	0.014	3.340	0.013	3.240	0.005	1.440	d 0.5	
<sup>13</sup> CDS	CASTEL DI SANGRO	88.5	68.9	0.009	1.720	0.010	1.720	0.007	1.650	0 1	The second se
<sup>14</sup> CER	CERIGNOLA	245.2	224.5	0.001	0.358	0.002	0.452	0.001	0.197	• I	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
<sup>15</sup> CHT	CHIETI	67.1	51.8	0.030	6.850	0.028	7.900	0.017	3.900	2 +	
16 CLN	CELANO	31.6	12.8	0.091	6.650	0.083	4.890	0.046	7.080	<u> </u>	
17 CMB	CAMPOBASSO	138.9	116.3	0.003	0.862	0.003	1.330	0.002	0.847	9 1.5 -	AQG: MI —— EN (57)
<sup>18</sup> CMR	CASTELMAURO	126.9	106.6	0.004	0.836	0.005	0.854	0.003	0.670	90	FP (147)
<sup>19</sup> CNM	CASALNUOVO MONTEROTARO	166.9	146.4	0.002	0.726	0.002	0.829	0.002	0.523		MAAN MA
<sup>20</sup> CSO1	CARSOLI 1	33.0	29.2	0.018	1.480	0.019	2.350	0.016	1.720	ect	1 million have
<sup>21</sup> CSS	CASSINO	102.7	83.8	0.010	1.390	0.008	1.590	0.003	0.783	S 0.5	No. in the second se
<sup>22</sup> CTL	CATTOLICA	186.6	177.3	0.003	0.736	0.004	0.731	0.001	0.314	0 <del>1</del>	
<sup>23</sup> FMG	FIAMIGNANO	19.3	16.7	0.027	1.690	0.024	2.860	0.020	1.310	· · ·	the stand of the stand of the stand
<sup>24</sup> FOR	FORLI'	232.3	224.2	0.002	0.668	0.002	0.593	0.001	0.298	2 +	
<sup>25</sup> GNL	GENZANO DI LUCANIA	279.4	255.7	0.002	0.543	0.002	0.569	0.001	0.249		
<sup>26</sup> GSA	GRAN SASSO (Assergi)	18.0	9.7	0.150	7.970	0.152	9.990	0.118	4.320	9 1.5 -	
27 GSG	GRAN SASSO (Lab. INFN galleria)	22.6	14.3	0.030	3.330	0.021	3.310	0.019	3.260	E go	
<sup>28</sup> ISR	ISERNIA	109.7	90.2	0.006	0.737	0.007	0.864	0.003	0.476		
<sup>29</sup> LSS	LEONESSA	39.1	31.8	0.008	0.801	0.010	0.671	0.006	0.738	0.5	
										ds 0.5	
										o I	
										0.01	01 1 1

POLITECNICO DI TORINO - DISTR MIMINGEER EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING TO EXTREME EVENTS G.P. CIMELLARO

Period (sec)

## L'Aquila Earthquake Experience



Figure. Church Santa Maria in Paganica



Figure. Provincia Palace (4 Cantoni)

The experience of the earthquake which struck the Abruzzo (April 2009), showed that the type of collapse for existing masonry structures is based on kinematic mechanisms due to loss of equilibrium of walls or assemblies of walls, with out-of- plane mechanisms at the global and local level.



#### Location of damage mechanisms **Macroelements**

<u>Macroelement</u>: constructively recognizable part of the building with homogeneous characteristics with respect to the structural behavior. It may coincide sometimes with an architectural and functional part.

The macro-elements interact with each other by showing cracks at the contact area (Bands of influence). Bands of influence are identified by weak or missing links or effects of damage (cracks).





POLITECNICO DI TORINO - DISTR MIMINGEER EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING TO EXTREME EVENTS G.P. CIMELLARO

#### L'Aquila Earthquake Experience



Figure. Basilica of Santa Maria di Collemaggio

It also highlighted the limitations of some traditional reinforcement techniques. Significant damage have been reported from buildings that had previously been consolidated after the earthquake of 1997, particularly due to questionable activities of the technical choices for incorrect or poor execution of the interventions themselves.



## Classification of masonry buildings

- 1<sup>a</sup> Type buildings made only with masonry (oldest buildings);
- 2<sup>a</sup> Type buildings made with vertical masonry box supporting horizontal slabs made with beams of different material and not connected with masonry in the edge points;
- 3<sup>a</sup> Type buildings made with vertical and horizontal components well connected each other.

### 1 Type Buildings made only of masonry

section.



The masonry (that is not able to resist traction) should support compression loads. The horizontal floors are realized with arches and vaults that push horizontally the vertical walls. The vertical walls should support gravity loads and the horizontal forces generated by arches. They work under axial and flexure forces in the typical

C.



For centuries the fundamental problem of architects is: which should be the dimensions of the lateral walls in order not to rotate and to support the lateral force of the arch?



# Rule of thumb for design of piers



POLITECNICO DI TORINO - DISTR MIMINCEER EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING TO EXTREME EVENTS G.P. CIMELLARO



Fach wall is disconnected from the adjacent one, because there are not horizontal slabs that can support traction and guarantee the link. The external walls are usually not stable because the section reduction is done only on the internal side for esthetic reasons. This generates eccentricity on the gravity loads and a tendency on the external walls to overturn toward the exterior side.

Fig 1 3. Ponzibilita' di distacchi tra pareti mutarie e formazione di archi cui voni.

3 Type

- At each floor slab there is an horizontal RC ring beam;
- □ It avoid the relative displacement between the vertical walls and the floor slab;
- It generates the same rotation between the slabs and the walls;



#### Masonry in seismic zone

Serious damage (collapse), to the old masonry buildings due to earthquakes, have caused negative impression among non-experts about the appropriateness and adequacy of the masonry as a building technique in the seismic area.

Instead the collapses are due to: poor quality of the materials; poor building construction quality; poor understanding of the structure; lack of accurate design; lack of maintenance; vertical and horizontal development of the building without an accurate analysis of the static behavior of the original building;



#### Traditional seismic retrofit in historical centers





POLITECNICO DI TORINO - DISTR MIMINGEER EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING TO EXTREME EVENTS G.P. CIMELLARO

#### Outline

Seismic ground motion and fault mechanism;

Italian seismic standard and the role of masonry in seismic zone;

Damage to historical monuments;

Damage to critical facilities;

Damage to industrial buildings;

Damage to lifelines;

Damage to RC buildings;



#### Italian seismic standards

The Italian seismic provisions proposed methods of analysis assuming a "box" behavior and rigid connections between the masonry walls and between masonry walls and floor slabs that were assumed rigid in their plane.



### Italian seismic standards

In order to satisfy that assumptions the consolidation techniques could be:

- a)Replacement of the wooden floor and roof structures with concrete structures;
- b) Insertion of RC ring beams on every floor in the masonry;
- c) Use reinforced plaster and/or injections of cement mixtures to increase the shear strength of masonry;



# Limits of the Italian seismic standards

Several historic buildings retrofitted in recent decades, have suffered partial an total collapses during L'Aquila earthquake in Abruzzo due to the incompatibility of materials and intervention techniques used.



## Out-of-plane collapse triggered by the roof

#### Damage:

 local collapse in the top of the walls of the tympanum; • collapse isolates.;

Mechanism:

• rolling out the plan of the facade, due to the hammering of the ridge beam;

Structural causes:

 Roof not effectively connected to the masonry.





Figure. Convent of Santa Maria di Collemaggio



## Lack of technical knowledge and ineffective interventions

L'Aquila earthquake has showed that:

a) The analytical models must be adapted to different types of buildings and materials taking into account the actual structural behavior;

 b) The intervention techniques should also be adjusted, calibrated and improved;



a) Replacement of the floor slabs and roofs and inclusion of RC ring beams in the masonry

Damage observed:

□ lack of or poor connection between the ring beams and masonry;

Deccentricity of the loads on each floor;

collapse of walls for out-of-plane forces;



#### Poor connection between ring beam and masonry





POLITECNICO DI TORINO - DISTR MIMINGEER EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING TO EXTREME EVENTS G.P. CIMELLARO

#### Collapse of half building triggered by the RC roof











POLITECNICO DI TORINO - DISTR IMMINIULIN - COMPANY - COM

# c) Reinforced plasters

Most common errors:

- (a) lack of connections between rebar applied to the perpendicular walls; (b) lack of overlap between adjacent rebar;
- (c) lack of uniform distribution of the rebar;

(d) low durability;





Figure Onna

Figure Sulmona



POLITECNICO DI TORINO - DISTR MINIMICEER EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING TO EXTREME EVENTS G.P. CIMELLARO

#### Outline

Seismic ground motion and fault mechanism;

Italian seismic standard and the role of masonry in seismic zone;

Damage to historical monuments;

Damage to critical facilities;

Damage to industrial buildings;

Damage to lifelines;

Damage to RC buildings;



# Fortezza Spagnola





## Fortezza Spagnola





## Fortezza Spagnola



Damage:

- •partial collapse of the floor slab;
- •Partial collapse of the roof;
- •Partial collapse of the upper lateral wall;
- •Severe cracks on the vertical walls;
- •Severe overturning of the arcades (loggiato) and of the columns on the ground floor; •Severe damage to historical heritage inside the National Museum of Abruzzo;

#### **Estimation of Economic** losses:

• 50.000.000 Euro;

#### Estimated Recovery time:

• 8 years;


## Fortezza Spagnola





# Damage to roof coverage

#### Damage:

 Partial Collapse of the roof coverage;

Mechanism:

• rolling out the plan due to horizontal flexure of the upper part of the facade;

Structural causes:

 Poor connection between the roof slab and the lateral wall weaker due to the presence of openings;

• Poor quality of the masonry;







# **Overturning of the Arcade**

#### Damage:

 overturning of the internal arcade facing the internal yard and severe damage in the internal columns of the arcade;







# Overturning of the wall

#### Damage:

 overturning of the internal arcade facing the internal yard and severe damage in the internal columns of the arcade;







## Signs of overturning wall











## Damage to arcades





## Damage to arcades



# Damage in the wall strips

Damage:

• typical cross cracks in the wall strips above and below the window openings;







# Damage in the wall strips

Damage:

• typical cross cracks in the wall strips above and below the window openings;









## Damage in the lintels (Architrave)

#### Damage:

 shear cracks in the internal walls strips in correspondence of the door openings;













## Damage to Vaults

#### Damage:

- cracks in the arch, the cross vaults and barrel vault; Structural causes:
- Rotation of the lateral walls.









# Damage in the lateral strips walls "maschi murari"









## Damage to the stairs







#### Local mechanisms-Poor quality of Masonry

In existing masonry buildings local collapses appear because of loss of equilibrium of part of the walls.



Figure Convento Santa Maria di Collemaggio



Figure Fortezza Spagnola



## Damage on the third floor



### Damage to National museum of Abruzzo





### Damage to National museum of Abruzzo



































### Basilica Santa Maria di Collemaggio

# L'Aquila Santa Maria di Collemaggio



# Plan and longitudinal view



Figure. Accelerometers (A) and hammer impact points (M) (Antonacci et al. 2001)



### Santa Maria di Collemaggio (XIII sec)



Figure. Basilica Santa Maria di Collemaggio

Damage:

- •Collapse of the vault of the transept;
- •Collapse of the Triumphal arches;
- •Collapse of the "tamburo" and of the Dome;
- •Collapse of the roof elements of the transept;
- •Severe cracks with imminent collapse in the apse and in the presbitery;
- •Moderate cracks in the tower and the tower room;

#### Estimation of Economic losses:

• 10500000+5500000 Euro;

#### Estimated Recovery time:

• 36 months+24 Months 5yrs;



# Interior of Santa Maria di Collemaggio





# Altar





#### La Porta Santa









# Facade of the Basilica



Figure. Façade before the earthquake



Figure. Façade at the moment of the earthquake



# Details of the Facade scaffolds



The structural scaffolds supported the front façade avoiding its collapse.





# Seismic retrofitted...







Figure. Quadrilater steel hysteretic damper

The damper dissipates energy through uniform yielding while the tendons remain always in tension.



# Pall friction dampers





Figure. Pall Friction damper (Filitrault et al. 1985)

Figure. Quadrilater steel hysteretic damper (Ciampi et al. 1993)

Not an original idea, but inspired by Pall Friction dampers that were tested in 1985 and applied in the first building in 1987 in Canada



# Modal frequencies and mode shapes of the FE model



Figure. Modal frequencies and mode shapes (Antonacci et al. 2001)



#### Experimental transfer functions



Figure. Transfer functions from hammer test M2 before retrofitting (Antonacci et al. 2001)



Figure. Transfer functions from hammer test M2 after retrofitting (Antonacci et al. 2001)



# Seismic retrofitted...



Figure. Location of one of the two hysteretic steel dampers at the edge of the nave

# The steel dampers connected the two walls together making the nave laterally stiffer than the transept



# Seismic retrofitted...



Figure. Hysteretic steel dampers located close to the transept

The steel dampers connected the two walls together making the nave laterally stiffer than the transept



# Transept











Figure. Basilica Santa Maria di Collemaggio



First emergency intervention of confinement of the columns to increase their axial capacity;


#### Basilica Santa Maria di Collemaggio





### Palazzo Margherita, L'Aquila, XIII sec.



D<u>amage:</u>

 Overturning of the external walls;

- Damage to the arcades
- "Loggiato" and to the columns;
- •partial collapse of the floor slab on the second floor;
- •Damage on the strips walls;
- •The internal vaults in the stairs are seriously damaged;
- •Damage in the facade, due to the hammering of floor beam;
- •The civic Tower presents cracks at the basement level

Estimation of Economic losses:

• 4.800.000 Euro;

Estimated Recovery time:

• 40 months;







1978-190 190

ø - Har

umos

*1*222

### Damage to Arcades

Damage:

•Overturning of the internal arcades "Loggiato" and of the columns;





### Damage to the stairs

#### Damage:

 shear cracks in the stairs especially at the second and third floor level;











#### Damage to the stairs





## Hammering of the floor beams

#### Damage:

 local damage in correspondence of the wall strips in the facade; Mechanism:

 damage to the front facade, due to the hammering of the floor beams;

Structural causes:

• Floor slab not effectively connected to the wall masonry.









### Hammering of the floor beams





# Damage in the wall strips

Damage:

• typical cross cracks in the wall strips above and below the window openings of the internal arcade;









# Damage in the wall strips

Damage:

• typical cross cracks in the wall strips above and below the window openings of the internal arcade;









# Damage to roof coverage

#### Damage:

• Partial sag of the roof coverage and dislocation of tiles;







# Damage to roof coverage

Damage:

• Partial sag of the roof coverage and dislocation of tiles;







# Damage to Vaults

#### Damage:

• cracks in the arch, the cross vaults and barrel vault; Structural causes:

• Rotation of the lateral walls.







# Overturning of the wall

#### Damage:

 overturning of the external wall; Structural causes: •Eccentric gravity loads due to section reduction;







#### **Cross-Tie of the Civic Tower**





#### Damage due to shape **irregularities**







#### Internal vertical cracks







# Ex-monastery of the Lauretana (XV sec.)



Damage:

•Severe risk of collapse of the lantern;

•Damage in the attached body;

•Damage in building A and B due to hammering of the ridge beam;

• Longitudinal cracks in the barrel vault of the corridor in building A;

•Overturning of the lateral walls of building C facing the internal back yard;

•Collapse of the internal gypsum walls;

•Collapse of the confinement wall of the garden;



#### Plan and section view





### Torsion mechanism of the lantern









### Hammering of the ridge beam





#### **Cross-ties**











### Out-of-plane wall mechanisms of building B





#### Other damages





### Palazzetto dei Nobili, L'Aquila, XVII sec.



Damage:

- •Damage to Vaults
- •Damage to floor slabs;
- •Damage on the main masonry structural walls;

#### Estimation of Economic losses:

• 900.000 Euro;

#### Estimated Recovery time:

• 24 months;



#### Damage to external walls





#### Damage to external walls

A









### Partial collapse of the wooden cross vault





### School De Amicis, L'Aquila, (XV sec)



Damage:

•Partial collapse of the roof;

•Partial collapse of the upper lateral wall;

 Cracks in the internal walls with partial collapse of ceilings; Dislocations of horizontal slabs;

•Collapse of cross vault;





# Damage to roof coverage

#### Damage:

- Overturning of the upper corner lateral wall;
- Partial collapse of the roof cover;









# Overturning of the upper corner lateral wall





# Damage to roof coverage

#### Damage:

• Local collapse of the lateral wall and the roof; Mechanism:

• Overturning of the lateral wall; Structural causes:

 Poor connection between lateral walls and roof slab. The poor quality of masonry and presence of openings initiate the mechanism;







# Damage in the wall strips

Damage:

• typical cross cracks in the wall strips above and below the window





N. N.

# Hammering of the floor beams

#### Damage:

 local damage in correspondence of the wall strips in the facade; Mechanism:

 damage to the front facade, due to the hammering of the floor beams;

Structural causes:

• Floor slab not effectively connected to the wall masonry.









### Damage to Arcades

Damage:

•Overturning of the internal arcades "Loggiato" and of the

columns;









A BAR

RE B

#### Damage in the internal partition walls




### Damage in the internal walls









### Damage in the stairs







## Damage to roof ceilings





# Collapse of the Vault





# Damage of scaffolding system





# Lucky!!





## Biblioteca Provinciale, L'Aquila, (1877)



Damage:

•Severe overturning of the façade with signs of compressions on the column of the ground floor;

•Severe shear cracks on the strip and keep walls;

•The internal vaults in the stairs are seriously damaged;

Estimation of Economic losses:

• 25.000.000 Euro;

Estimated Recovery time:

• 8 years;

## Plan view of the complex block



A CONTRACTOR

### **Overturning of the Facade**













#### Compression in the columns of the facade

Damage:

 Compression damage to the columns of the arcade due to the overturning of the façade;











#### Composite overturning of the walls









POLITECNICO DI TORINO - DISTR

## Composite overturning of the walls (Shore-up Intervention)





#### Damage to the library shelves







## Pounding









## **Convitto Nazionale**



Front view and section of the main Yard



# Horizontal flexure

#### Damage:

 local damage in the front facade wall with severe risk of collapse; Mechanism:

• overturning due to lack of resistant to traction forces; Structural causes:

• hammering of the roof beams on top of the lateral wall.











## Total collapse of the vaults of the arcades

#### Damage:

 local collapse of the cross vaults of the arcades of the main yard of the Convitto;

Mechanism:

- rotation of the supports; Structural causes:
- poor construction of the masonry vaults.











#### Damage in the Tower Bell





## Collapse of the floor slab of the arcades









#### Overturning of the upper lateral wall









# Safety of scaffolding system





#### Cracks in the vaults of the stairs





## Damage for irregular shape

#### Damage:

collapse of lateral wall and roof cover;

Mechanism:

• overturning due to poor connection between the roof and the lateral wall;

Structural causes:

• structural shape irregularities and poor masonry quality;





Total disconnection of cross-tie;



ENTS G.P. CIMELLARO

#### Damage to roof coverage





## Damage in Paganica



3 foto di Onna Paganica e Castelnuovo



## Damage in Onna





## Shore-up intervention of a











#### Lucky again!!





#### Outline

Seismic ground motion and fault mechanism;

Italian seismic standard and the role of masonry in seismic zone;

Damage to historical monuments;

Damage to critical facilities;

Damage to industrial buildings;

Damage to lifelines;

Damage to RC buildings;



# Non structural components

Most of the essential facilities (e.g hospitals, etc.) were not useful because of damage to non-structural components;

Damage of nonstructural components also led to interruption of business activities;

Wherever the stability of the building was not compromised damage to non structural components feared the population that were concerned going back in their houses;









#### Damage to columns



#### Damage to columns





IAKE ENGINEERING TO EXTREME EVENTS G.P. CIMELLARO

# Poor quality of expansion joints





# Damage to Suspended Ceilings system





# Damage to internal infill walls








### Outline

Seismic ground motion and fault mechanism;

Italian seismic standard and the role of masonry in seismic zone;

Damage to historical monuments;

Damage to critical facilities;

Damage to industrial buildings;

Damage to lifelines;

Damage to RC buildings;



## **Industrial Buildings**









## **Industrial Buildings**





Courtesy of O.







# Industrial Silos in Bazzano



Courtesy of O. Bursi





# Industrial Silos in Bazzano



Courtesy of O. Bursi



### Outline

Seismic ground motion and fault mechanism;

Italian seismic standard and the role of masonry in seismic zone;

Damage to historical monuments;

Damage to critical facilities;

Damage to industrial buildings;

Damage to lifelines;

Damage to RC buildings;



#### Road network Highway Teramo-L'Aquila interrupted Bridge closed





#### Bridge at Onna

#### Bridge on the Aterno river





#### Geotechnical effects



Figure Convent Santa Maria di Collemaggio



Figure Road Monticchio to Onna



#### Partial collapse of shore lake





Figure Crack in the ground near the shore lake in San Demetrio dei Vestini



### Outline

Seismic ground motion and fault mechanism;

Italian seismic standard and the role of masonry in seismic zone;

Damage to historical monuments;

Damage to critical facilities;

Damage to industrial buildings;

Damage to lifelines;

Damage to RC buildings;



### Damage in L'Aquila (Pettino)







#### Three story reinforced concrete building





#### Three story reinforced concrete building





#### Three story reinforced concrete building





### **MCEER TEAM**



